Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 157 (1978) C47—C49
© Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne — Printed in The Netherlands

Preliminary Communication:

Some reactions of the [(n-C H)Ru(OH) Ru(n-C H)] cation

D.R. Robertson and T.A. Stephenson Department of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JJ (Received July 6th, 1978)

Summary:- Reaction of $[(\eta-C_6H_6)Ru(OH)_3Ru(\eta-C_6H_6)]BPh_4$ (I) with aqueous solutions of NH_4PF_6 gives $[Ru(NH_3)_3C_6H_6](PF_6)_2$ (II) whereas refluxing (I) in ROH (R = Me,Et) produced the triple alkoxide-bridged cations $[(\eta-C_6H_6)Ru(OR)_3Ru(\eta-C_6H_6)]BPh_4$ (III); in contrast, no reaction between (I) and various tertiary phosphines was observed.

Recently, we reported that reaction of $[\{Ru(C_6H_6)Cl_2\}_2]$ with an excess of either aqueous sodium hydroxide or carbonate gave the triple hydroxybridged cation $[(C_6H_6)Ru(OH)_3Ru(C_6H_6)]C1.3H_2O[1,2]$. However, although [Ru2(C6H6)2(OH)] BPh4 (I) can be readily isolated by addition of NaBPh4 to aqueous solutions of the chloride salt, attempts to trap-out the PF salt by addition of excess of NH4PF gave, after several days, a yellow nitrogen-containing solid (ca. 40% yield). On the basis of elemental analysis, conductivity measurements in nitromethane, i.r. and 1H nmr spectral studies, this is best formulated as the trisammine dication $[Ru(NH_3)_3(C_6H_6)]$ (PF₆)₂ (II). A related complex to (II), namely, $[Ru(NH_3)_2(C_6H_6)C1]_3(PF_6)_3NH_4PF_6$ has been obtained in small yield from the reaction of [{Ru(C_H_6)Cl_2}] with hot water, followed by addition of NHAPF [3]. Presumably, (II) is formed from the reaction of [Ru2(C6H6)2(OH)3] with ammonium ion which can act as a weak acid, protonating the OH bridges to form the trisaqua intermediate [Ru(H2O) 3-(C6H6)]2+, which could then undergo replacement of water by ammonia groups (cf the related reactions of [Ru (OH) (PMe Ph) | with acids in various solvents (S) which gave $[RuS_3(PMe_2Ph)_3]^{2+}$ species [4]).

If (I) is refluxed in methanol for 3 hours, a yellow crystalline solid (III) is deposited on cooling. The ir spectrum of (III) shows no bands at ca 3500 cm -1 (vOH) but a strong band at 1050 cm -1 indicates the presence of -OMe groups (cf Ti(OMe), with v(O-C) 1032 cm [5]). Since the H nmr spectrum in (CD3)2CO consists of BPh sultiplets at ca 6.90 and 7.30 δ , a η^6 -C₆H₆ peak at 5.48 δ and a singlet at 4.42 δ (assigned to -OMe protons) in the intensity ratio 20; 12; 9 respectively, (EI) is formulated as the triple methoxide bridged complex [(C6H6)Ru(OMe)3Ru(C6H6)]BPh4. This formulation is supported by elemental analyses and detailed conductivity measurements in nitromethane indicating a 1:1 electrolyte. Similarly, [Ru2(C6H6)2(OEt)3] BPh4 was obtained by refluxing (I) in ethanol. Again, the mechanism of formation presumably involves protonation of the OH bridges by the weak acid ROH to form the $[Ru(H_2O)_3(C_6H_6)]^{2+}$ cation which could then react rapidly with OR^- to give monomeric alkoxide compounds such as [Ru(H20)(C6H6)OR] + and $[Ru(H_90)(C_6H_6)(OR)_9]$. As discussed elsewhere for the analogous $[Ru_9 (C_6H_6)_2Cl_3^{\dagger}$ [6] and $[Ru_2Cl_3(PR_3)_6]^{\dagger}$ [7] cations, facile intermolecular coupling reactions of these solvated monomers would then give the [Ru₂(C_cH_c)₂(OR)₂] + cations.

These alkoxide cations could also be synthesised by reaction of $[\{Ru(C_6H_6)Cl_2\}_2]$ with freshly prepared NaOR (R = Me,Et) in alcoholic solvents, and, unlike the corresponding $[Ru_2(C_6H_6)_2(OH)_3]^+$, their PF₆ salts are readily isolated by addition of NH₄PF₆ to the above reaction mixtures.

Finally, although the analogous $\left[\operatorname{Ru}_2(\operatorname{C}_{6}\operatorname{H}_6)_2\operatorname{Cl}_3\right]^+$ cation undergoes facile bridge cleavage reactions with a variety of Lewis bases to give monomeric compounds of type $\left[\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{C}_6\operatorname{H}_6)\operatorname{ClL}_2\right]\operatorname{PF}_6$, $\left[\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{C}_6\operatorname{H}_6)\operatorname{Cl}_2\operatorname{L}\right]$ and/or $\left[\operatorname{Rucl}_2\operatorname{L}_4\right]\left(\operatorname{L}=\operatorname{C}_5\operatorname{H}_5\operatorname{N}, \operatorname{Et}_2\operatorname{S}, (\operatorname{CH}_3)_2\operatorname{SO}, \operatorname{PR}_3 \text{ etc}\right)\left[1,6\right]$, $\left[\operatorname{Ru}_2(\operatorname{C}_6\operatorname{H}_6)_2(\operatorname{OH})_3\right]\operatorname{BPh}_4$ does not react with excess of tertiary phosphines in acetone, even under reflux conditions for prolonged periods, $\left(\text{cf.}\left[\left\{\operatorname{Pt}(\operatorname{OH})\left(\operatorname{PR}_3\right)_2\right\}_2\right]\left(\operatorname{BF}_4\right)_2$ also does not react with more $\operatorname{PR}_3\left[8\right]\right)$, the only product isolated being $\left[\operatorname{Ru}_2\left(\operatorname{C}_2\operatorname{H}_8\right)_2\left(\operatorname{OH}_3\right]\operatorname{BPh}_4\left(\operatorname{CH}_3\right)_2\operatorname{CO}\right.$

Further studies on a wider range of these triple bridged hydroxo and alkoxide ruthenium(II) arene complexes are now in progress.

Acknowledgement

We thank Johnson-Matthey Ltd. for loans of ruthenium trichloride and the SRC (DDR) for a research studentship.

References

- D.R. Robertson and T.A. Stephenson, J. Organometallic Chem., <u>116</u>, (1976) C29.
- R.O. Gould, C.L. Jones, D.R. Robertson and T.A. Stephenson, <u>J.C.S.</u> Chem. Comm., (1977), 222.
- 3. R.O. Gould, C.L. Jones, D.R. Robertson and T.A. Stephenson, Cryst. Struct. Comm., 7, (1978), 27.
- 4. T.V. Ashworth, M.J. Nolte and E. Singleton, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., (1977), 936.
- 5. H. Kriegman and E. Licht, Z. Electrochem, 62, (1958), 1163.
- 6. D.R. Robertson, Ph.D thesis, University of Edinburgh, 1978.
- 7. W.J. Sime, Ph.D thesis, University of Edinburgh 1977.
- G.W. Bushnell, K.R. Dixon, R.G. Hunter and J.J. McFarland, <u>Canad.</u>
 <u>J. Chem.</u>, <u>50</u>, (1972), 3694.